FOR MYSORE STATE

Home (1954) Department

RT SERIES.

G.O. No 3773

Dated 20—12—54

Forwd

[Despatch Abstract.]

COURT FEE INDIA
2AS TWO ANNAS

From

Bobbe Madhusoodhan Bhat. Esq
Sheishila Village.
P.O. ARASIKAMAKKI.
Belthangadi Tq (S.K.)

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 7173

5 OCT 1954
JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

To,

The Secretary to the
Government of Madras,
Fort St George.
Madras.

R.D
Home V.O

13265-g
11/10/54

Sirs,

I regret to receive the Boards' L. Dis L 1183/54 Dated 7-9-54. wherein my appeal petition was rejected for having prayed for S.B.M.L. Grant licence.

Rev. U.O. No
21755/54-1
11.10.54

I submit the following for favour of information and necessary action after perusing the contents records of enquiry.

2. Sheishila is a malnad Village and is just on the Mysore border. My appeal petition to the Board was so clear and exhaustive that no further enquiry was necessary.

3. In the month of February last I submitted a petition for S.B.M.L. licence. The the Revenue Inspector summond me to his Firka Headquarter Kokkada, which is about 12 miles from my residence towards coast and recorded a statement. He never inspected my Crop nor have to the reason

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
11 OCT 1954
HOME DEPARTMENT

P.T.O.

V/



to believe that he has enquired into my petition on the village as I received an order from the Addl Dt Magistrate rejecting my petition. I submitted an appeal to the Board of Revenue. Some other Revenue Inspector came to the spot and was very kind enough to please politely and enquired into the case. I don't know whether he was true to his words. He immediately sent for the nearest licensee, Sri Subba Gowda and requested him to help me in protecting my arica crop until I get a licence, which fact shows that he did consider my case. But now the licensee is not helping and that I have received "repeated" orders from the Board. I am a both mulagani & chalagani tenant cultivating two acres of arecanut, and two acres of paddy both which are subject to savages of monkeys wild boars kati, kadama, squirrels etc. every moment. This is the season for the monkeys to cause havoc in areca garden. My entire family depends upon the produce of the two acres of areca garden and two acres of paddy and if I am not helped, the policy of the Government to help the cultivators would be declared as a farce. This is my last and humble submission to Collector, Tahasildar or the then Revenue Inspector came to Shishila Village and hence they know nothing about the local condition of Shishila.

I request the favour of an early action.

Yours faithfully,

Shishila.
Dated -5-54

(C.No. 132659/54)

Home Dept. 3

Endt No 132659/Poll-II/R-1 Dated 15.10.54

80/1617

Referred to the Bd of Revenue for Remarks. to be submitted not later than 14-11-54 ~~together with connected records~~.

PM 14/11/54

M 15/10/54

A 14.10.54.

To

The Bd of Revenue

Chepauk, Madras

~~Copy~~ Copy with connected records



Revenue Department.
Subject.
-:-



ARMS ACT AND RULES - South Kanara District - Puttur Taluk - Shishila Village - Licence for S.B.M.L. Gun - Rejected - Appeal to Government against the orders of the Board - Report submitted.

Ref. L.2837/54-2

Station: Madras. Dated 8.11.1954.

149137

From
Sri T.N.S. Raghavan, I.C.S., Commissioner for Commercial Taxes.
Sri K. Suppa Menon, I.A.S., Secretary, Civil Supplies.

-:-

READ: Govt.Endt. No.132659 - Police III/54-1 Home dated 15.10.1954.
From the Additional District Magistrate (I), South Kanara Ref. C6 - 6543/54 dated 1.11.54 to Government.

-:-

REFERENCE:

Submitted to Government.

2. The Board's L.Dis. L. 1183/54 dated 7.9.1954 in which the appeal was disposed of is submitted for reference.

For Secretary.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Home Department, Madras.
with encls.
(1) Collector's L.Dis.262/54 dated 4.3.54.
(2) Board's L.Dis. L.1183/54 dated 7.9.54.

TGD.11.11.

Copy of Ref. C6. 6543/54 dated 1.11.54 from Sri M.G. Raja Ram, I.A.S., Addl. Dt. Magistrate (1) of South Kanara to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, (LR) Chepauk, Madras-5.

-:-

Sir,

ARMS ACT AND RULES - S.K. District - Puttur Taluk - Shishila Village - Licence for S.B.M.L. Gun - Refused Appeal petition of Sri Bobba Madhusadhana Bhatta - Report Submitted.
Ref: Board's Ref. L.2837/54-1 dated 27.10.54.

-:-

The appellants petition dated 3.1.54 for the grant of a Gun Licence for a S.B.M.L. Gun was rejected by the then Addl. District Magistrate in his proceedings M.L.Dis. 262/54 dated 4.3.54 on the ground that he was a petty cultivator and that there was no need for a firearm to him. The appeal preferred by the appellant to the Board was also rejected in its L.Dis.L.1183/54 dated 7.9.54.

2. All the points raised in the petition have already been dealt with in the records. I have no other remarks to offer.

3. The records of this office M.L.Dis.262/54 are enclosed.

Yours faithfully,
(Sd.) x x x x x x xx
For Addl. Dt. Magistrate (I)

(True Copy)

For Secretary.

31/10/56

C No 132659/56

Home Dept

G.O.Rt No 3773 Dated 20/12/56

Arms Act and Rules — South Kanara District Shishila Village — Petition from Sri. Bobbe Madhusudana Bhat — Licence to possess a SBNL gun — Petition rejected

Read — From Sri Bobbe Madhusudana Bhat petition dated Nil

From the Bd of Revenue Letter Rc No L.B.8 37/54-2 dated 8-11-54

ORDER

The petition of Sri Bobbe Madhusudana Bhat is rejected.

2 The records received from the Board of Revenue, Madras

10

are returned

RD
17/11/82

H
23/11/82

Back item (one) only

To

Sri Babla Madhusudan
Bhat
Shrishila Villa
Puttur Taluk
South Kanara Dt
(para 1 only)

The Bd of Revenue
Bangalore, Madras
(with letter dt
(R) and (N).

copy to the
Collector of South Kanara
Copy to S.F.

Back item 3 only
23/12

Home Department.

Arms Act and Rules - S.Kanara District - Shishila Village - Licence for S.B.M.L.gun to Sri Bobbe Madhusudana Bhat rejected by the Collector- Appeal rejected by the Board of Rev.- Petition to Government.

--

From Sri Bobbe Madhusudana Bhat, petition d/Nil.

Issued as Endt.No.132654 Pol.III/54-1 dated 15-10-54

From the Board of Revenue, Ref:L.2837/54-2 dated 8-11-1954.

--

The petitioner, Sri Bobbe Madhusudana Bhat of Shishila Village, Puttur Taluk (South Kanara District) applied to the the Collector of S.Kanara in January 1954 for the grant of a licence for a S.B.M.L gun. The Collector rejected the application in his proceedings M.L.Dis.262/54 dated 4-3-54, on the ground that the applicant was a petty cultivator and that there was no genuine need for a firearm to him. The petitioner thereupon appealed to the Board of Revenue against the order of the Collector.

In the appeal petition he had adduced the following grounds, namely:-

(1) that he is a bona fide agriculturist cultivating 2 acres of areca garden and about 2 acres of paddy fields;

(2) that wild animals like elephants, bisons wild bears, monkeys etc. cause havoc to the areca garden and paddy fields while tigers and panthers kill the cattle;

(3) that till a few years ago he was living with his elder brother in a joint family, that the brother

had a gun licence and that after partition and separation of holdings there is ample need for a gun to the petitioner: and

(4) that the Collector has rejected his application without assigning any reason.

When the appeal petition was referred by the Board to the Collector, he reported that the lands of petitioner were situated at a distance of one mile from the Shishila Reserve Forest which is infested with wild animals and that there are already 13 licensees in the village. After perusing the connected records, the Board saw no reason to interfere with the Collector's order and rejected the appeal. Please see also the note of the Secretary, Board of Revenue on page 2 nf. of (R).

2. In the petition at pages 1-2 cf. addressed to the Govt. against the orders of the Collector and the Board, the petitioner has adduced the following grounds, namely,

(1) that he is both a mulagani and chalagani tenant cultivating 2 acres of arecanut and 2 acres of paddy fields;

(2) that the creps are constantly subject to ravaged by monkeys, wild bears, Kati, Kadamba, Squirrels etc.

(3) that his entire family depends on the produce of the abovesaid lands; and

(4) that no Collector, Tahsildar or Revenue Inspector came to the village and hence they do not know about the local conditions

On this petition the Collector has stated that all the points raised therein have already been dealt with in the records and that he has no other

remarks to offer. The Board has not offered any remarks.

3. Under rule 41 of the Indian Arms Rules, an appeal lies to the Board of Revenue against the orders passed by the licensing authority refusing to grant a licence. There is no provision for a second appeal to Government under the rules. Since the petitioner has already exhausted his right of appeal, it is for orders whether the petition may be rejected.

Pro 15/12/54

15/12/54

16.12.54

A draft order is subd for approval.

Pro 17/12/54

18/12/54

Issued as GO Rt No 3773 Home 20-12-54

Spl
as desired
comply
20/12/54

20/12/54